

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502)

2 davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor

3 San Francisco, California 94111

Telephone: (415) 875-6600

4 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

5 Andrea Pallios Roberts (Bar No. 228128)

6 andreaproberts@quinnemanuel.com

555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor

7 Redwood Shores, California 94065

Telephone: (650) 801-5000

8 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

9 Attorneys for Third-Party Google Inc.

10  
11  
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

14  
15 MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
TECHNOLOGIES,

16 Plaintiff,

17 vs.

18 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,  
19 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,  
INC., SAMSUNG  
20 TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,  
LLC.,

21 Defendants.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

CASE NO. 5:16-mc-80012 NC

**DECLARATION OF ANDREA PALLIOS  
ROBERTS IN SUPPORT OF  
PLAINTIFF'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO SEAL (DKT NO. 6)**

Judge: Hon. Nathanael Cousins

1 I, Andrea Pallios Roberts, declare as follows:

2 1. I am Of Counsel at Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, counsel for non-  
3 party Google Inc. ("Google") in this action. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiff  
4 Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC's ("MTel's") Administrative Motion to File  
5 Under Seal in Re Motion to Compel Third-Party Google, Inc. to Produce Documents and to Make  
6 Available an Additional Witness and Request for Sanctions Pursuant to FRCP 37(Dkt. No. 6). I  
7 have personal knowledge of the following facts, and would competently testify to them if called  
8 upon to do so.

9 2. On January 14, 2016, MTel filed an Administrative Motion to File Under Seal in  
10 Re Motion to Compel Third-Party Google, Inc. to Produce Documents and to Make Available an  
11 Additional Witness and Request for Sanctions Pursuant to FRCP 37(Dkt. No. 6), which sought to  
12 protect information designated as confidential by non-party Google and discussed in MTel's  
13 Motion to Compel. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 79-5(e), non-party Google submits this Declaration in  
14 support of MTel's Administrative Motion, to the extent MTel's Motion and supporting documents  
15 reference non-party Google's highly confidential or proprietary business information. These  
16 documents contain information that Google considers to be competitively sensitive. Google does  
17 not disclose such information to the public. In particular, the materials MTel seeks to seal discuss  
18 the backend operation of Google's Cloud Messaging service ("GCM"), including its source code,  
19 which is information that Google considers to be commercially sensitive and is not public, and  
20 was produced to MTel under the operative Protective Order in the underlying case.

21 3. Exhibit 4 to the Declaration of Craig Jepson (Dkt. 6-3) is an excerpt of the  
22 transcript of the November 11, 2015 deposition of Google engineer Francesco Nerieri. The  
23 testimony describes the operation and protocols used by GCM, including information regarding  
24 where messages are stored, types of messages, and the use of such messages by GCM. Such  
25 information is highly confidential and was produced under the Protective Order governing  
26 confidentiality in the underlying action.

27 4. Exhibit 5 to the Jepson Declaration (Dkt. 6-4) is an internal Google design  
28 document for GCM for Android. It discusses in detail the operation of various different messages

1 in the GCM system. Moreover, the document details the design of how messages are processed  
2 through Google's GCM system, metrics on Google's GCM system as well as discussion regarding  
3 proposed changes to Google's GCM system. This information is highly confidential and was  
4 produced under the Protective Order governing confidentiality in the underlying action.

5         5.         Exhibit 7 to the Jepson Declaration (Dkt. 6-5) is MTel's second subpoena to  
6 Google for documents and deposition. Selected portions of the exhibit contain commercially  
7 sensitive information regarding the operation of GCM and should be redacted. The information  
8 disclosed in the selected portions is highly confidential and was produced under the Protective  
9 Order governing confidentiality in the underlying action.

10         6.         Exhibit 8 to the Jepson Declaration (Dkt. 6-6) is a letter to me from MTel's  
11 counsel, summarizing and quoting from Mr. Nerieri's deposition testimony. Selected portions of  
12 this exhibit contain commercially sensitive information regarding the operation of GCM and  
13 should be redacted. The information disclosed in the selected portions is highly confidential and  
14 was produced under the Protective Order governing confidentiality in the underlying action.  
15 MTel's Administrative Motion asks that this document be sealed in its entirety. However,  
16 attached as Exhibit A is a redacted version of Exhibit 8, which more narrowly tailors the  
17 redactions to protecting Google's proprietary information. It is Google's understanding that,  
18 pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d)(1)(D), MTel already filed an unredacted version of this  
19 document. To the extent that the Court would also like Google to file an unredacted version of the  
20 document, Google will do so.

21         7.         Exhibit 9 to the Jepson Declaration (Dkt. 6-7) is Google's response to MTel's  
22 subpoena for a second deposition and documents. Selected portions of this exhibit contain  
23 commercially sensitive information regarding the operation of GCM and should be redacted. The  
24 information disclosed in the selected portions is highly confidential and was produced under the  
25 Protective Order governing confidentiality in the underlying action. MTel's Administrative  
26 Motion asks that selected portions of this document be redacted. However, attached as Exhibit B  
27 is a redacted version of Exhibit 9 which more narrowly tailors the redactions to protecting  
28 Google's proprietary information. It is Google's understanding that, pursuant to Civil Local Rule

1 79-5(d)(1)(D), MTel already filed an unredacted version of this document. To the extent that the  
2 Court would also like Google to file an unredacted version of the document, Google will do so.

3 8. Selected portions of MTel's Motion to Compel quote from or reference the exhibits  
4 discussed above. These selected portions contain commercially sensitive information regarding  
5 the operation of GCM and should be redacted. The information disclosed in the selected portions  
6 is highly confidential and was produced under the Protective Order governing confidentiality in  
7 the underlying action.

8 I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the United States, that the foregoing is  
9 true and correct. Executed this 21st day of January, 2016, in Redwood Shores, California.

10  
11 /s/ Andrea Pallios Roberts  
12 Andrea Pallios Roberts  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28